

**AMSTER  
ROTHSTEIN  
& EBENSTEIN LLP**

*Intellectual Property Law*

90 Park Avenue  
New York NY 10016  
Main 212 336 8000  
Fax 212 336 8001  
Web [www.arelaw.com](http://www.arelaw.com)

*Partners*  
Morton Amster  
Jesse Rothstein (1934 - 2003)  
Daniel Ebenstein  
Philip H. Gottfried  
Michael J. Berger  
Neil M. Zipkin  
Anthony F. Lo Cicero  
Kenneth P. George  
Abraham Kasdan, Ph.D.  
Ira E. Silfin  
Chester Rothstein  
Craig J. Arnold  
Kenneth M. Bernstein

*Senior Counsel*  
Charles R. Macedo  
Mark J. Rosenberg  
Nancy M. Dodderidge

*Of Counsel*  
Jerry D. Dainow

*Associates*  
Neal L. Rosenberg  
Patrick Boland\*  
Joseph M. Casino  
John S. Economou  
Michael V. Solomita

Holly Pekowsky  
Michael P. Kenney  
Marion P. Metelski  
Max Vern  
Dana R. Metes  
Karl J. Kolbinger  
Brian A. Comack  
Richard S. Mandaro  
Marc J. Jason  
Elie H. Gendloff, Ph.D.\*  
David Mitnick  
Charles W. Rath  
David A. Boag  
Karen J. Bernstein

Matthieu Hausig  
Jung S. Hahn  
Reiko Kaji  
Alan D. Miller, Ph.D.  
Hsin-Hsin (Ginger) Liu  
John A. Lepore  
Norjean McCaffrey  
Benjamin M. Halpern\*  
Matthew A. Fox

\*Not admitted in New York

November 11, 2004

Via Express Mail

Jerry D. Dainow  
Direct 212 336 8056  
E-mail [jdainow@arelaw.com](mailto:jdainow@arelaw.com)

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

"Express Mail" mailing label No.	EL 996376206 US
Date of Deposit:	November 11, 2004
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA-22313-1450.	
Name:	Stephen McConaughy
Signature:	

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/248,436  
APPARATUS FOR DISABLING A TELEPHONE RINGER  
Our File: 47187/11

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due dated October 4, 2004, we enclose herewith the following:

1. A completed and executed Form PTOL 85 - 1 page,
2. Letter to the Patent Draftsperson, Formal Drawings (2 Sheets) and copy of Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Form PTO 948 - 4 pages,
3. Check for \$715 to cover the cost of the Issue Fee (\$685) and 10 copies of the patent certificate (\$30), and
4. Postcard receipt.

Please acknowledge receipt of the enclosed documents by stamping the enclosed postcard and returning same to us.

November 11, 2004


If an extension of time is required please consider this document as a petition for such extension. If any additional fees are due for said extension or for any of the documents filed herewith, or if any excess fees have been paid, please charge or credit our Deposit Account No. 01-1785. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant  
90 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 336-8000

Dated: New York, New York  
November 11, 2004

By:


  
J. David Dainow  
Registration No. 22,959

JDD/smc  
Enclosures

**AMSTER  
ROTHSTEIN  
& EBENSTEIN LLP**

*Intellectual Property Law*

90 Park Avenue  
New York NY 10016  
Main 212 336 8000  
Fax 212 336 8001  
Web www.arelaw.com



*Partners*  
Morton Amster  
Jesse Rothstein (1934 - 2003)  
Daniel Ebenstein  
Philip H. Gottfried  
Michael J. Berger  
Neil M. Zipkin  
Anthony F. Lo Cicero  
Kenneth P. George  
Abraham Kasdan, Ph.D.  
Ira E. Silfin  
Chester Rothstein  
Craig J. Arnold  
Kenneth M. Bernstein

*Senior Counsel*  
Charles R. Macedo  
Mark J. Rosenberg  
Nancy M. Dodderidge

*Of Counsel*  
Jerry D. Dainow

*Associates*  
Neal L. Rosenberg  
Patrick Boland\*  
Joseph M. Casino  
John S. Economou  
Michael V. Solomita

Holly Pekowsky  
Michael P. Kenney  
Marion P. Metelski  
Max Vern  
Dana R. Metes  
Karl J. Kolbinger  
Brian A. Comack  
Richard S. Mandaro  
Marc J. Jason  
Elie H. Gendloff, Ph.D.\*  
David Mitnick  
Charles W. Rath  
David A. Boag  
Karen J. Bernstein

Matthieu Hausig  
Jung S. Hahn  
Reiko Kaji  
Alan D. Miller, Ph.D.  
Hsin-Hsin (Ginger) Liu  
John A. Lepore  
Norajan McCaffrey  
Benjamin M. Halpern\*  
Matthew A. Fox

\*Not admitted in New York

November 11, 2004

Jerry D. Dainow  
Direct 212 336 8056  
E-mail jdainow@arelaw.com

Via Express Mail

Attn: Official Draftsperson  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

"Express Mail" mailing label No.	EL 996376206 US
Date of Deposit:	November 11, 2004
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
Name:	Stephen M. Hausig
Signature:	

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/248,436  
APPARATUS FOR DISABLING A TELEPHONE RINGER  
Inventor: Hayley Korn  
Our File: 47187/11

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowability dated October 4, 2004 in the above-referenced application, we enclose herewith formal drawings (Sheets 1 and 2) as replacements for the originally filed drawings, along with a copy of Form PTO-948 stating the objections to the original drawings. It is believed that these replacement drawings overcome all objections raised and should be approved.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant  
90 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 336-8000


By:

J. David Dainow  
Registration No. 22,959

Dated: New York, New York  
November 11, 2004

JDD/smc/encls.

293407.1

NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S  
PATENT DRAWING REVIEWThe drawing(s) filed (insert date) 2/11/99 are:A. ☐ approved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.B. ☒ objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. The Examiner will require submission of new, corrected drawings when necessary. Corrected drawing must be submitted according to the instructions on the back of this notice.1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings:  
Black ink. Color.☐ Color drawings are not acceptable until petition is granted.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Pencil and non black ink not permitted. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84 (b)

☐ 1 full-tone set is required. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Photographs not properly mounted (must use bristol board or photographic double-weight paper). Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Poor quality (half-tone). Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 3. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)

☐ Paper not flexible, strong, white, and durable.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations, folds, copy machine marks not accepted. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Mylar, velum paper is not acceptable (too thin).

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 4. SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable sizes:

☐ 21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4)☐ 21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2 x 11 inches)☐ All drawing sheets not the same size.

Sheet(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 5. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:

Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm

SIZE: A4 Size

Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm

SIZE: 8 1/2 x 11

Margins not acceptable. Fig(s) 1, 3☒ Top (T)☒ Left (L)☒ Right (R)☒ Bottom (B)

## 6. VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)

REMINDER: Specification may require revision to correspond to drawing changes.

Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)

☐ Brackets needed to show figure as one entity.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Views not labeled separately or properly.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Enlarged view not labeled separately or properly.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 7. SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84 (h)(3)

☐ Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or Roman numbers. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)

☐ Words do not appear on a horizontal, left-to-right fashion when page is either upright or turned so that the top becomes the right side, except for graphs. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k)

☐ Scale not large enough to show mechanism without crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in reproduction.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, &amp; LETTERS.

37 CFR 1.84(i)

☒ Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well defined, clean, double, and black (poor line quality).Fig(s) 1-3

## 11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)

☐ Solid black areas pale. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Solid black shading not permitted. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Shade lines, pale, rough and blurred. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, &amp; REFERENCE CHARACTERS.

37 CFR 1.84(p)

☐ Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Figure legends are poor. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1)

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)

Figs \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Numbers, letters and reference characters must be at least .32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3)

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)

☐ Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Lead lines missing. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)

☐ Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals beginning with number 1. Sheet(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)

☐ Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals, beginning with number 1. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

## 16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)

☐ Corrections not made from prior PTO-948 dated \_\_\_\_\_

## 17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152

☐ Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Solid black shading not used for color contrast.

Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS